

# 2007-07-02 Conference Call

Notes: this week's call addressed the following areas:

1. who's in charge of each area?; 2: what should the requirements be for aggregated view?; 3. How are we going to proceed with the UI work & requirements?; 4. Can rSmart, Indiana and Michigan find some way to work on GMT and assignments so that rSmart doesn't have to fork the code?

we Need a coordinated conversation for integrating GMT and Assignments to examine if forking Assignments is necessary. Janice will try to set this up in the very near future.

Noah Botimer, Chris Maurer, Sean Kessler, John Leasia, Jim Pease, Janice - will also need to find out if architecture folk are needed.

## 2.5 Requirements Ownership assignment

-----

Promoting OSP as a Sakai Core Tool

rSmart & ???

Aggregated view

Michigan & ???

LaGuardia focused on SAK-10140 mainly

Chris Maurer's assignment to JIRAs in this section needs to be revised

Next step is to justify and clarify the notion of what an AGGVIEW needs to be - a list of needs that may be handled by this concept

Part 2 of next weeks meeting dedicated to working on this - rSmart will try to be involved, LaGuardia will be for sure, Indiana is interested

Goal Management Tool

rSmart, Indiana, Syracuse

Improving the User Interface

Michigan & ???

With key input from Indiana, Syracuse and Amsterdam

Indiana will provide a list of pain points and trouble spots

A first pass would be to analyze OSP - and come up with a set of design guidelines to normalize the UI

Reports

rSmart has some role in that - needs to be determined

Portfolios

LaGuardia & ???

Participation and input from Michigan & Amsterdam & Indiana

Metatagging and searching

Closely linked to framework issues faced by GMT

Out of scope for 2.5

But design can take place between now and then

Need to isolate some use cases, examine grammar issues (restricted, free, shared)

Some names for this: Noah Botimer, Sean Kessler, Darren Cambridge

OOTB Examples - community library

Still waiting on some movement