2008-04-21 Conference Call

Meeting minutes for April 21, 2008

Sakai/OSP 2.4 Status

- SAK-9732 Revise reflections on wizards not working. Solutions: Disallow editing when locked or put up alert. We could make the form hidden, but that may not be a good approach. This would interfere with work flow of creating forms in a matrix then pick and choose forms in a portfolio.
- SAK-13271 Creating new form item when adding evidence in a matrix causes 404. Will be assigned to Brian.
- SAK-13210 Entity too large. John Ellis fixed it.
- SAK-12842 in progress
- SAK-13337 Will be assigned to Brian.

Sakai/OSP 2.5 Status

- SAK-13114 SAK-12119 continuing problems identifying reproducing on an independent server. Beth asked IU for build scripts
- SAK-13304 Already fixed in IU version. Assigned to Brian.

Community Proposals

- Singularity of Feedback - accepted, should be written up as Jira
- Default text for FCKEditor - Both proposed solutions accepted (add default text in XSD, prototype for cell-level override of XSD's default text) UM should write up as a feature template. User stories would be helpful.

LOI update on Multiple Evaluators

Question: Screenshot 3A - how are users selected to be evaluators? Current screen is larger with more options. Should list be restricted by role? Mark: we were wondering about this, too. That's why 3B doesn't allow selection of multiple evaluators. Noah pointed out that the Mail tool does a good job on the screen of handling groups, etc. (Provisional?) On 3B, there is a separate rating scale menu. How does that relate to evalutation form? What options beside pass fail should be available? Answer: it would be like the Assignments tool, where you can have letters/numbers. rSmart has a facility for taking multiple evaluations and coming up with an average grade.

RINet on Rubric. Assignments often have multiple goals. Rating in cell has at least two, row/column. RINet graduation portfolios have to support student explanation of how goals are met by a certain assignment.

Hugo: linking with goal management may be a next step in development.

Discussion: Problem is that GMT is in contrib, and no one is really maintaining it right now. Hugo: develop screen showing GMT integration for future reference. Lynn: many assignments would work well with this, but for supporting "portfolio thinking" and evaluating portfolios, GMT is a little heavy.

Hugo: maybe we should do blue sky consideration of these issues in Paris.

Paris Conference

- Lynn set up meeting on Friday, though details not worked out.

Miscellaneous

- Update on IU proposals: Lynn will do walkthrough of Matrix and Wizard defaults next week. She'll talk to John about getting us info on the other proposals.