

Teaching with Sakai Innovation Award 2008



NOTE: THIS PAGE IS A WORKING SPACE FOR THE AWARD COMMITTEE, NOT THE ACTUAL APPLICATION SITE.

VISIT <http://openedpractices.org/twsia> TO APPLY FOR THE AWARD.

Goal

1. Recognize and promote best faculty practices and innovation in using Sakai for teaching and learning.
2. Establish a faculty presence in the Sakai community and in particular at the international Sakai conference.
3. Bring faculty in contact with Sakai developers and designers.

Debriefing for Next Year

Committee Tasks

1. Establish Committee
 - Use the conference as a starting point.
 - Select Chair
 - Volunteer for committee tasks-- coordinator with Sakai foundation, judging facilitators, website maintenance, communication, rubric and standard setting
2. Establish purpose and general timeline
3. Search for a sponsor
 - Optional: the award could take place without a sponsor
4. Review and enhance rubric
 - We should continue in the same track
 - What kind of resources are asked for in an application?
 - Go beyond screenshots.
 - Support from the committee (Maggie's Connect)?
 - Self-Help doc? Wink, CamStudio, video in YouTube, PowerPoint with Narration? Limit to 5-10 minutes on "Why this course is Innovative" + some verbiage on the side like this year.
 - Get a technology sponsor, get a sandbox.
 - Guest access?
5. Create timelines
 - Starting now!!!
 - How far ahead do we have to plan?
 - Judging done: 3 months in advance
 - 1 month final judging.
 - 1 month initial judging.
 - 2 months to submit.
 - 1 month before: start advertising.
6. Select and contact judges
 - Making sure that judges have a background in online learning.
 - Nomination process. Self-nomination too. Committee makes final decision.
7. Create website and edit website
8. Advertise unofficially and official press release
9. Committee vets online applications and selects 4 for judges
10. Communicate with 4 finalists and all participants
11. Communicate with judges
12. Organize and facilitate judging using Adobe Connect
 - Best to get a guided tour from the faculty.
13. Inform winners
 - Ask for a video?
 - Make a copy of the course on an open server?
14. Letters and certificates for participants, honorable mentions, and winners
15. Travel arrangements to Conference by Mary Miles
16. Announcement both informal and formal press release on and on website
17. Arrangements for presentations in Paris and awards ceremony
18. Debrief
19. Recruit next year's committee

Timeline

September-08	October-08	November-08	December-08	January-09	February-09	March-09	April-09	May-09	June-09
Judge Nomination	Start Advertising	Submission Open	Submission Open	Initial Judging	Final Judging	Work on Showcase	Work on Showcase	Work on Showcase	Conference

Process

1. Weekly conference call
2. E-mails
3. Judging

Revised Timeline 05-01-2008

Timeline / deadlines

Online application form becomes available: Thursday, April 3rd

Committee can read applications as they come in

Application process closes: Friday, April 18

Committee ranks applications online beginning: Friday the 18th midnight GMT. Complete before next conference call.

Committee conference call to select finalists: Friday, April 25rd, 1PM EDT. The conference call will be 2 hour long.

4/23 4-5 p.m.: Janet, Josh, Kate and Matt will have a conference call with Karen Swan and Paul Elsner.

5/1: Conference call with Ken Bain, --Zimmerman; Maggie, Janet, Kate

Finalists to judges: Tuesday April 22nd--sent PDF of entries, application form with rubric, and spreadsheet form for scoring (requested from Karen Swan)

Web conferences with finalists: Friday, May 9th and Monday May 12th

Winner Announced: May 13th?

Sakai Conference: July 1-3, 2008

Presentation Ceremony, July 1, 2008

Kate will be the main contact for the judging process.

TO DO LIST:

1. Notify finalists (after the 25th) by phone call with follow-up email
2. Coordinate finalists and judges for the web conferences
3. Use Oregon's Breeze tool?????

Two 7 hour timeslots are available for all 3 judges!

Date /Participant	Karen	Ken	Paul	4: Michael Burns	2: Fred Hofstetter	1: Salim Nakhjavani	3: Alleen Huang-Saad	5: final award meeting
Friday May 9	noon-7 EDT	noon-7 EDT	noon-7 EDT 9 AM to 2 PST		10:30 AM PST 1:30 PM EDT	9:00 AM PST 12 noon EDT 18:00 Cape Town		
Monday May 12	noon-7 EDT	noon-7 EDT	noon-7 EDT 9 AM to 4 PST	11:00 AM PST 2:00 PM EDT			9:30 AM PST 12:30 PM EDT	12:00 noon PST 3:00 PM EDT

FAQs for Judges

Post your FAQs here.

Members

Name	Institution	Email	Title
Janet de Vry, co-chair	University of Delaware	janet@udel.edu	Manager, Instructional Services, IT-User Services
Eddie Watson, co-chair	Virginia Tech	edwatson@vt.edu	Assistant Director, Strategy and Planning, Learning Technologies
Matt Plourde	University of Delaware	mathieu@udel.edu	Instructional Designer, IT-User Services
Maggie McVay Lynch	Oregon Health & Science University	lynchmag@ohsu.edu	Director, Statewide Teaching & Learning Services
Sue Roig	Claremont Colleges (Claremont Graduate University)	susan.roig@cgu.edu	Director Academic Computing
Michael Korcuska	Sakai Foundation	mkorcuska@sakaifoundation.org	Executive Director
Kate Ellis	Indiana University	kdellis@indiana.edu	Instructional Technology Consultant and Designer

Jon Hays	University of California, Berkeley	jonmhays@media.berkeley.edu	Instructional Designer
Salwa Khan	Texas State University	sk16@txstate.edu	Media Consultant, Instructional Technologies Support
Dale Voorhees	University of Central Florida	dvoorhee@mail.ucf.edu	Assistant Director, Course Development & Web Services
Nate Angell	rSmart	nate.angell@rsmart.com	Director of Special Projects
Josh Baron	Marist College	josh.baron@marist.edu	Director, Academic Technology and eLearning

Final Announcement to go out March 19, 2008

Public Relations Efforts

PR Opportunity	Owner	Status	Updated
Sakai Foundation Press Release	Josh Baron	Completed	3/26/08
Campus Technology Magazine	Nate Angell	Published	3/26/08
Sloan Consortium Listserv	Josh Baron	Completed	3/24/08
Chris Coppola's Blog	Josh Baron	Completed	3/26/08
MIT OKI via Jeff Merriman	Nate Angell	Requested	4/8/08

Announcement

Teaching with Sakai Innovation Award, sponsored by IBM

Attention faculty: Are you excited about new ways in which you have been able to engage your students using Sakai? If you believe that your course transforms the learning experience, we want to hear from you. We are looking for teachers whose courses best exemplify excellent teaching practices combined with innovative solutions. Please share your story with us and with other faculty around the world by applying for the Teaching with Sakai Innovation Award.

If you are the winner, you will be awarded a trip to Paris to participate in the 9th Sakai Conference, July 1 - 3. (<https://sakai.educonference.com/paris/index.php>). There you will be recognized at an award ceremony and invited to showcase your course. If you are the winner and unable to travel to Paris, a modest honorarium will be awarded and we will work with you to provide an electronic presence at the conference. Check out our self-scoring rubric today to see how your course stacks up.

For more information and application go to: <http://openedpractices.org/twsia>

The application process opens March 19th and the deadline is April 14, midnight GMT. The winner will be announced in mid May.

A panel of judges who are well known for their work on enhancing learning through the appropriate use of technology will evaluate the courses and participate in web conferences with the finalists to select the winner. The judges are Karen Swan, Professor at the Research Center for Educational Technology at Kent State University, Ohio; Paul Elsner, director of the National Center for the Future of the Community College and former Chancellor of the Maricopa Community College District; and Ken Bain, Professor at Montclair State University, and author of "What the Best College Teachers Do."

The Teaching with Sakai Innovation Award is sponsored by the IBM Corporation.

Not part of the announcement but on the web page.

Timeline

Application process begins: March 19, 2008

Application process closes: April 14, 2008

Web conferences with finalists: April 28-May 9, 2008

Winner Announced: May 15, 2008

Sakai Conference: July 1-3, 2008

Presentation Ceremony, July 1, 2008

NOTES from 3-18-2008:

- Nate will communicate with Campus Technology.
- Janet will communicate with the Sakai newsletter.
- We should probably have a poster that people could print out. (Matt)
- Use what is written on Kate's document as a final eligibility statement.

NOTES from 3-14:

- The fact that the instructor will not make it to Paris should not be tie-breaker.
- Do we have more money? It is safe to say that we will pay for the travel expenses (according to Josh).

- Should not be a requirement to be present, but strongly encouraged.
- Need to work on the wording.
- For our planning purposes, do you plan to attend the conference if you win?
- Electronic presence.
- Use the iLink at Marist. Could record the winners walkthrough.
- Should promote the judges in a follow-up communication by the Sakai Foundation.
- Kate and Janet will work on the final version today.
- Nate will work on openEdPractices site and will at least get the info and PDFs posted by Wednesday 19th, web form for submission may come later.

TSIA Application

- [Rubrics](#)
- [Self Assessment Form](#)
- [Glossary](#)
- [Online Application Form mockup](#): <http://filebox.vt.edu/users/adevans/FDI/sakai/award.html>
- [Josh has posted a DRAFT{*}](#) to review.*UPDATE: Josh has posted a [SECOND Draft Application](#) Form based on feedback during last week's call.
- [Web pages proposed outline](#)

Potential Award Judges


Please see the prior conference call agenda for a [list of potential judges](#).

Current Work Items

Please add your own comments and links as appropriate.

We decided on 1/25/08 that we would dedicate the 2/01/08 conference call to the Award.

We identified the following issues. Members volunteered to take charge of various issues. Each person listed will prepare a 5 minute presentation on their area to help define the path forward. We may rework the issues so that there is a person in charge of each area.

1. Criteria--what makes a course or module exemplary? Maggie Lynch
 - Check out the criteria posted in this website: <http://www.udel.edu/present/contest/2007exemplary/index.html>
 - [Approaches to Criteria for Application and Evaluation of Exemplary courses](#) (Added 2-1-2008)
2. Here are several sites with literature reviews, criteria discussions, and sample rubrics coming out of those discussions: (**Note:** these are all for fully online or hybrid courses)
 - Educause Quarterly- Establishing a Quality Review for Online Courses <http://connect.educause.edu/Library/EDUCAUSE+Quarterly/EstablishingaQualityReview/39988?time=1201031805>
 - Article from Sloan Consortium on rubrics for effective online courses http://www.sloan-c.org/effective/details4.asp?FS_ID=39
 - Florida Gulf Coast University Principles of Online Design Checklist <http://www.fgcu.edu/onlinedesign/checklist.html>
 - Maryland Quality Matters Online Course Documents <http://www.mdfaconline.net/documents.htm>
 - Edutools Online Course Evaluation Project <http://ocep.edutools.info/static.jsp?pj=10&page=HOME>
 - Rubric for Assessing Interactive Qualities of Distance Learning Courses <http://www.westga.edu/~distance/roblyer32.html>
 - Rubric for Online Instruction CSU Chico <http://www.csuchico.edu/celt/roi/>
3. Online applications form Ed Watson
4. Sample application form and criteria:
5. <http://www.udel.edu/present/contest/2007exemplary/submission.html>
 WebCT 2001 Sample application form and rubric: <http://www.webct.com/service/ViewContent?contentID=4367802>
 - WebCT 2003 Sample application form and rubric: <http://www.webct.com/service/ViewContent?contentID=13423678>
 - WebCT 2005 Sample application form and rubric: <http://www.webct.com/service/ViewContent?contentID=23981201>
 - 2008 Campus Technology Innovators Entry Form: <http://www.campustechnology.com/innovators/>
 - Blackboard Greenhouse 2006 Sample application form and rubric: <http://connections.blackboard.com/bbcswebdav/courses/GREEN-EX-COURSE/Greenhouse%20Exemplary%20Course%20Awards%20Rubric%202006.pdf>
 - AT&T Fellows at Indiana University: <http://attf.iu.edu/grants/EI.php>
1. Judging Rubrics
2. Who is eligible?
 - Like the WebCT and Blackboard forms, I like the idea that faculty are the primary eligible parties. However, I also like that instructional designers can be part of the eligible group. Some schools have faculty who design and implement, other schools of IDs who design and faculty implement.
3. Who can nominate?  #* I think the nomination can be open for self-nomination or for others to nominate. Self-nominations are the most likely.
4. Selection of Judges--Set criteria; move out to other Sakai list.
 - a. Recognized Leaders in Teaching, Learning and Technology
 - b. How to select
5. Contact with Paris Conference Committee
6. Timing of all of the above including publicity
7. Documentation - Robin Hill

8. Hosting nominated courses for judges view - Jon Hays--Privacy Issues - law, technical options